

# **FRAUD Investigation Report**

**October – December 2005**

California Department of Social Services  
Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau  
April 2006

# Fraud Investigation Report

## Table of Contents

### October – December 2005

	<b>Page</b>
Summary .....	1
California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Statewide Highlights .....	2
Food Stamp Statewide Highlights .....	3
Welfare Fraud Special Investigative Units .....	4
<b>CalWORKs Program</b>	
Table 1 Fraud Investigations by Special Investigative Units .....	5
Table 2 Requests Accepted for Investigation by Primary Element of Fraud .....	6
Table 3 Investigation Results .....	7
Table 4 District Attorney Prosecution Activity .....	8
Table 5 Prosecution Outcomes .....	9
Table 6 Fraud Overpayments and Collections .....	10
<b>Food Stamp Program</b>	
Table 7 Fraud Investigations by Special Investigative Units .....	11
Table 8 Requests Accepted for Investigation by Primary Element of Fraud .....	12
Table 9 Investigation Results .....	13
Table 10 District Attorney Prosecution Activity .....	14
Table 11 Prosecution Outcomes .....	15
Table 12 Fraud Overissuances and Collections .....	16

# **Fraud Investigation Report Summary October – December 2005**

In California, fraud prevention and detection is an integral part of the overall management of public assistance programs. The fraud prevention program is administered at the local level by 58 county welfare departments. The California Department of Social Services (CDSS) is responsible for developing regulations and disseminating policy information/interpretation to local entities. Within the county welfare departments, the income and maintenance units have primary responsibility for determining eligibility and computing grant amounts. If fraud is suspected, the case is referred for investigation to the Special Investigative Unit (SIU). At the discretion of the county, the SIU may be placed in the county welfare department or within another county law enforcement agency such as the District Attorney's or Sheriff's Office. It is the responsibility of the SIU to conduct an investigation of such cases for the purpose of establishing whether or not evidence exists to support a charge of welfare fraud.

The Fraud Investigation Report provides statewide and county-specific fraud activity data on the California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) and Food Stamp programs. Investigation activity by the SIUs is reflected in this report. Data shown represents the most current statistics available at the time of publication.

The following three reports are the data sources for this publication, and are available on the CDSS Research and Data Reports (RADR) internet website at <http://www.cdss.ca.gov/research>.

- DPA 266 - Fraud Investigation Activity Report  
*October, November and December 2005*
- CA 237 CW - CalWORKs Cash Grant Caseload Movement Report  
*October, November and December 2005*
- DFA 256 - Food Stamp Program Participation and Benefit Issuance Report  
*October, November and December 2005*

Unless otherwise stated, each data item in the publication is a quarterly rollup of three months of data from the DPA 266 – Fraud Investigation Activity Report.

## CalWORKs Statewide Highlights October – December 2005

### Investigation Requests

- 31,546 requests for investigation were **received** by California Special Investigative Units (SIUs) during the quarter, with a monthly average during the quarter of 10,515 investigation requests. The monthly average of investigation requests represents 2.18% of the 481,417 CalWORKs statewide caseload (monthly average during October – December 2005).
- 30,883 investigation requests were **accepted** for investigation during the quarter. This is 98% of the 31,546 investigation requests received.
  - ▶ 20,714 (67%) were Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program requests.
  - ▶ 5,344 (17%) were investigation requests for other reasons including other unreported income, homeless assistance, unreported resources/assets, duplicate/replaced warrants, duplicate aid, forgery, residence, fictitious identity, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.
  - ▶ 3,377 (11%) were family (household) composition requests.
  - ▶ 1,448 (5%) were Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) requests.
- 97,822 investigations were **available** during the quarter.
  - ▶ 66,939 (68%) of the available investigations were **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
  - ▶ 30,883 (32%) of the available investigations were **accepted** for investigation during the quarter.

### Investigations Completed and the Results

- 34,254 investigations were **completed** during the quarter. This is 35% of the 97,822 total investigations available.
  - ▶ 10,698 (31%) of the completed cases had evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
  - ▶ 23,556 (69%) of the completed cases did not have evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
- Of the 34,254 completed investigations, the **results** included:
  - ▶ 6,056 (18%) of the cases were denied, reduced or discontinued due to the Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program.
  - ▶ 1,838 (5%) of the cases had fraud found, but with no adverse financial impact.
  - ▶ 1,554 (5%) of the cases had restitution action taken.
  - ▶ 1,415 (4%) of the cases were reduced or discontinued in non-Early Fraud cases.
  - ▶ 656 (2%) of the cases were referred for prosecution.
  - ▶ 61 (.18%) of the cases were referred to an Administrative Disqualification Hearing.
  - ▶ 61 (.18%) of the cases had expiration of statutes.

Note: Completed investigations could have multiple results. Completed investigations with allegation unfounded, insufficient evidence, and other miscellaneous results included in the 34,254 are not shown in this report.

### Prosecution Activity

- 2,854 referrals were **available** to the District Attorney for prosecution during the quarter.
  - ▶ 2,092 (73%) were referrals **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
  - ▶ 762 (27%) were referrals **received** during the quarter.
- There were 2,854 referrals available to the District Attorney for prosecution consideration during the quarter.
  - ▶ 468 **prosecutions** were filed. This is 16% of the available prosecution referrals.
- There were 468 prosecutions filed by the District Attorney during the quarter.
  - ▶ 460 **convictions** were obtained. Some convictions obtained were from prosecutions filed in prior quarters.

### Overpayments and Collections

- \$4,109,274 **fraud overpayments** were identified during the quarter.
- \$4,358,874 **collections** were made during the quarter. Some collections obtained were from overpayments identified in prior quarters.

## Food Stamp Statewide Highlights October – December 2005

### Investigation Requests

- 51,269 requests for investigation were **received** by California Special Investigative Units (SIUs) during the quarter, with a monthly average during the quarter of 17,090 investigation requests. The monthly average of investigation requests represents 2.32% of the 737,316 Food Stamp statewide caseload (monthly average during October – December 2005).
- 50,321 investigation requests were **accepted** for investigation during the quarter. This is 98% of the 51,269 investigation requests received.
  - ▶ 29,248 (58%) were Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program requests.
  - ▶ 12,019 (24%) were investigation requests for other reasons including other unreported income, unreported resources/assets, duplicate/replaced Food Stamps, duplicate aid, forgery, residence, fictitious identity, Food Stamp trafficking, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.
  - ▶ 4,756 (9%) were family (household) composition requests.
  - ▶ 4,298 (9%) were Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) requests.
- 165,384 investigations were **available** during the quarter.
  - ▶ 115,063 (70%) of the available investigations were **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
  - ▶ 50,321 (30%) of the available investigations were **accepted** for investigation during the quarter.

### Investigations Completed and the Results

- 50,562 investigations were **completed** during the quarter. This is 31% of the 165,384 total investigations available.
  - ▶ 15,021 (30%) of the completed cases had evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
  - ▶ 35,541 (70%) of the completed cases did not have evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
- Of the 50,562 completed investigations, the **results** included:
  - ▶ 8,582 (17%) of the cases were denied, reduced or discontinued due to the Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program.
  - ▶ 2,208 (4%) of the cases had fraud found, but with no adverse financial impact.
  - ▶ 2,198 (4%) of the cases were reduced or discontinued in non-Early Fraud cases.
  - ▶ 2,163 (4%) of the cases had restitution action taken.
  - ▶ 778 (2%) of the cases were referred for prosecution.
  - ▶ 84 (.17%) of the cases were referred to an Administrative Disqualification Hearing.
  - ▶ 64 (.13%) of the cases had expiration of statutes.
  - ▶ Note: Completed investigations could have multiple results. Completed investigations with allegation unfounded, insufficient evidence, and other miscellaneous results included in the 50,562 are not shown in this report.

### Prosecution Activity

- 3,617 referrals were **available** to the District Attorney for prosecution during the quarter.
  - ▶ 2,688 (74%) were referrals **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
  - ▶ 929 (26%) were referrals **received** during the quarter.
- There were 3,617 referrals available to the District Attorney for prosecution consideration during the quarter.
  - ▶ 527 **prosecutions** were filed. This is 15% of the available prosecution referrals.
- There were 527 prosecutions filed by the District Attorney during the quarter.
  - ▶ 499 **convictions** were obtained. Some convictions obtained were from prosecutions filed in prior quarters.

### Overissuances and Collections

- \$2,749,377 **fraud overissuances** were identified during the quarter.
- \$1,376,471 **collections** were made during the quarter. Some collections obtained were from overissuances identified in prior quarters.

**Welfare Fraud Special Investigative Units****October – December 2005**

Below is a listing of the locations of each county's Welfare Fraud Special Investigative Unit(s).

County	County Welfare Department	District Attorney's Office	County Welfare Department and District Attorney's Office	Sheriff's Office
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>
Alameda			✓	
Alpine	✓			
Amador			✓	
Butte		✓		
Calaveras			✓	
Colusa		✓		
Contra Costa			✓	
Del Norte		✓		
El Dorado	✓			
Fresno		✓		
Glenn	✓			
Humboldt	✓			
Imperial		✓		
Inyo		✓		
Kern		✓		
Kings	✓			
Lake				✓
Lassen				✓
Los Angeles			✓	
Madera		✓		
Marin	✓			
Mariposa	✓			
Mendocino	✓			
Merced		✓		
Modoc		✓		
Mono		✓		
Monterey			✓	
Napa	✓			
Nevada	✓			
Orange		✓		
Placer	✓			
Plumas	✓			
Riverside	✓			
Sacramento	✓			
San Benito	✓			
San Bernardino	✓			
San Diego		✓		
San Francisco			✓	
San Joaquin		✓		
San Luis Obispo	✓			
San Mateo	✓			
Santa Barbara		✓		
Santa Clara		✓		
Santa Cruz	✓			
Shasta		✓		
Sierra	✓			
Siskiyou		✓		
Solano	✓			
Sonoma	✓			
Stanislaus	✓			
Sutter			✓	
Tehama		✓		
Trinity	✓			
Tulare		✓		
Tuolumne	✓			
Ventura		✓		
Yolo			✓	
Yuba	✓			

**CaIWORKs**

**Tables**

**TABLE 1**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS BY SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE UNITS**  
**October – December 2005**

County	CalWORKs Caseload <sup>a/</sup>	Investigation Requests		Investigations Completed	Evidence to Support an Allegation of Fraud		Investigations Pending End of Quarter <sup>b/</sup>
		Received	Accepted		Sufficient	Not Sufficient	
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>481,417</b>	<b>31,546</b>	<b>30,883</b>	<b>34,254</b>	<b>10,698</b>	<b>23,556</b>	<b>60,073</b>
Alameda	18,708	564	564	451	229	222	1,033
Alpine	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	253	18	18	21	8	13	64
Butte	3,851	348	336	363	122	241	725
Calaveras	381	264	264	264	107	157	0
Colusa	203	8	7	3	2	1	13
Contra Costa	8,901	364	350	250	161	89	233
Del Norte	897	8	8	4	1	3	43
El Dorado	994	76	60	101	64	37	345
Fresno	23,989	358	357	305	183	122	1,286
Glenn	491	141	141	118	24	94	120
Humboldt	1,928	236	236	239	56	183	82
Imperial	3,857	96	96	82	45	37	646
Inyo	152	6	6	2	2	0	47
Kern	17,448	761	738	841	495	346	474
Kings	2,641	547	547	571	179	392	262
Lake	1,138	63	63	65	27	38	98
Lassen	471	39	39	28	6	22	12
Los Angeles	160,649	5,015	4,761	10,485	2,105	8,380	38,658
Madera	2,931	627	627	584	45	539	185
Marin	1,000	26	26	22	16	6	392
Mariposa	190	5	5	6	3	3	35
Mendocino	1,547	40	40	50	34	16	482
Merced	6,890	268	254	272	99	173	480
Modoc	200	64	64	59	13	46	25
Mono	49	3	3	1	1	0	4
Monterey	4,212	345	341	180	48	132	322
Napa	540	34	34	11	5	6	178
Nevada	546	13	13	10	9	1	69
Orange	16,708	2,007	2,005	2,078	1,081	997	740
Placer	1,480	20	20	16	6	10	163
Plumas	167	11	11	13	10	3	1
Riverside	20,073	5,686	5,656	5,697	1,275	4,422	947
Sacramento	28,929	1,089	1,026	1,056	499	557	1,194
San Benito	674	19	19	23	17	6	18
San Bernardino	32,261	3,486	3,486	1,532	749	783	856
San Diego	23,797	3,670	3,538	3,574	867	2,707	835
San Francisco	5,339	61	61	218	217	1	2,143
San Joaquin	14,213	205	205	155	98	57	244
San Luis Obispo	1,739	90	90	92	38	54	149
San Mateo	2,550	157	157	6	6	0	1,231
Santa Barbara	4,120	156	156	175	87	88	150
Santa Clara	15,142	425	359	367	165	202	239
Santa Cruz	2,177	226	221	179	54	125	190
Shasta	3,282	236	236	253	119	134	216
Sierra	39	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	869	231	231	263	120	143	87
Solano	4,782	659	657	625	197	428	870
Sonoma	2,872	126	126	118	63	55	212
Stanislaus	9,300	790	774	590	317	273	1,341
Sutter	1,134	244	244	237	94	143	26
Tehama	1,222	184	184	151	24	127	85
Trinity	205	37	37	35	2	33	35
Tulare	12,808	204	204	214	5	209	797
Tuolumne	605	165	157	138	60	78	89
Ventura	5,965	248	248	307	127	180	321
Yolo	2,108	85	85	68	22	46	545
Yuba	1,785	692	692	686	290	396	36

a/ Effective with the January 2003 report month, the source form (CA 237 CW) was changed to collect families data from Two Parent Families, Zero Parent Families, All Other Families, TANF Timed-Out Cases and Safety Net Cases. The data is a monthly average for the quarter. NOTE: County numbers may not add to Statewide total due to rounding.

b/ Data is from DPA 266 December 2005 Item 7 Investigations pending at end of month. The investigations pending numbers include county case adjustments that occurred during the quarter. NOTE: The statewide total of Investigations pending at the *beginning* of the quarter, from DPA 266 October 2005 Item 4 was 66,939.

**TABLE 2**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**REQUESTS ACCEPTED FOR INVESTIGATION BY PRIMARY ELEMENT OF FRAUD**  
**October – December 2005**

County	Early Fraud Prevention/ Detection	Income and Eligibility Verification System	Family (Household) Composition	Other Unreported Income	Residence	Unreported Resources/ Assets	Other <sup>a/</sup>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>20,714</b>	<b>1,448</b>	<b>3,377</b>	<b>1,160</b>	<b>1,070</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>2,785</b>
Alameda	376	42	43	14	11	23	55
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	6	4	2	0	6
Butte	65	2	78	25	23	9	134
Calaveras	209	0	25	4	8	4	14
Colusa	0	0	3	0	0	0	4
Contra Costa	172	131	7	27	0	0	13
Del Norte	0	0	3	0	1	0	4
El Dorado	1	4	19	13	5	3	15
Fresno	102	43	125	45	29	3	10
Glenn	79	3	20	21	11	0	7
Humboldt	20	1	93	17	1	88	16
Imperial	27	0	28	15	25	0	1
Inyo	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Kern	290	24	249	101	31	9	34
Kings	439	1	70	15	9	0	13
Lake	5	1	25	2	12	1	17
Lassen	27	0	8	2	2	0	0
Los Angeles	3,363	502	30	28	0	9	829
Madera	576	8	29	6	2	0	6
Marin	1	6	6	0	8	2	3
Mariposa	2	0	1	1	1	0	0
Mendocino	2	15	10	4	2	3	4
Merced	26	13	76	32	21	6	80
Modoc	57	0	3	4	0	0	0
Mono	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Monterey	156	13	12	7	56	1	96
Napa	0	0	14	8	2	2	8
Nevada	6	0	3	0	4	0	0
Orange	1,865	21	38	22	7	1	51
Placer	0	0	5	1	3	7	4
Plumas	5	0	3	1	1	1	0
Riverside	5,228	32	175	55	19	16	131
Sacramento	667	4	90	42	54	1	168
San Benito	0	4	1	5	2	0	7
San Bernardino	1,115	138	1,060	279	334	48	512
San Diego	3,230	88	74	36	4	4	102
San Francisco	60	0	0	0	0	0	1
San Joaquin	111	2	35	5	4	2	46
San Luis Obispo	16	0	31	15	11	1	16
San Mateo	49	0	23	51	21	0	13
Santa Barbara	41	5	45	21	11	2	31
Santa Clara	218	67	47	10	1	8	8
Santa Cruz	197	1	5	4	1	0	13
Shasta	134	0	63	14	4	1	20
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	58	0	53	12	45	53	10
Solano	464	82	55	21	20	0	15
Sonoma	22	26	32	8	0	1	37
Stanislaus	137	122	294	30	134	3	54
Sutter	169	1	24	33	10	0	7
Tehama	68	6	49	18	11	7	25
Trinity	7	10	5	3	4	1	7
Tulare	0	7	96	27	53	1	20
Tuolumne	125	0	15	1	5	0	11
Ventura	175	2	29	5	1	4	32
Yolo	29	13	15	11	7	2	8
Yuba	522	7	29	29	37	1	67

a/ "Other" includes homeless assistance, duplicate warrants, duplicate (multiple) aid, forgery, fictitious identity, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.

**TABLE 3**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**INVESTIGATION RESULTS<sup>a/</sup>**  
**October – December 2005**

County	Early Fraud (Denials/Benefits Reduced/ Discontinuances)	Referred for		Restitution Action	Benefits Reduced or Discontinued (Non-Early Fraud)	Fraud Found, No Adverse Financial Impact	Expiration of Statutes
		Prosecution	Administrative Disqualification Hearing				
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>6,056</b>	<b>656</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>1,554</b>	<b>1,415</b>	<b>1,838</b>	<b>61</b>
Alameda	202	9	0	10	0	8	16
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	2	0	0	0	0	7	0
Butte	74	7	0	13	29	10	12
Calaveras	86	0	0	3	18	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Contra Costa	18	5	1	28	0	109	0
Del Norte	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
El Dorado	0	3	0	14	9	41	0
Fresno	24	78	36	1	44	0	6
Glenn	6	0	0	1	9	8	0
Humboldt	21	3	0	9	16	18	0
Imperial	6	1	0	2	14	1	0
Inyo	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	167	41	0	46	181	64	0
Kings	119	6	0	5	31	18	0
Lake	4	1	0	8	14	3	0
Lassen	8	0	0	0	1	0	0
Los Angeles	981	250	0	728	18	632	0
Madera	5	2	0	7	25	6	0
Marin	3	0	0	5	4	4	0
Mariposa	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
Mendocino	1	0	0	29	2	2	3
Merced	13	7	0	6	89	21	0
Modoc	11	0	0	0	1	1	1
Mono	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	68	9	0	4	23	4	6
Napa	2	0	0	2	2	1	0
Nevada	3	0	0	2	3	1	0
Orange	749	17	4	30	270	166	1
Placer	0	0	0	1	4	1	0
Plumas	6	0	0	0	3	3	0
Riverside	1,137	35	0	94	1	8	0
Sacramento	373	11	0	62	47	6	4
San Benito	4	0	0	2	8	3	0
San Bernardino	387	18	0	21	138	209	0
San Diego	599	38	14	147	0	116	0
San Francisco	1	3	0	1	0	0	1
San Joaquin	55	6	6	1	12	19	8
San Luis Obispo	7	2	0	6	29	4	0
San Mateo	37	6	0	17	32	8	0
Santa Barbara	14	13	0	31	43	16	0
Santa Clara	109	20	0	12	0	24	1
Santa Cruz	42	2	0	3	7	0	0
Shasta	51	7	0	7	18	36	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	14	1	0	8	33	63	0
Solano	107	3	0	37	15	20	0
Sonoma	15	4	0	47	20	46	0
Stanislaus	75	5	0	71	144	74	0
Sutter	44	6	0	10	17	19	0
Tehama	8	2	0	1	9	4	0
Trinity	1	2	0	0	2	0	0
Tulare	0	2	0	0	0	3	2
Tuolumne	30	4	0	3	10	14	0
Ventura	118	9	0	0	0	0	0
Yolo	7	12	0	1	0	2	0
Yuba	240	3	0	18	17	12	0

a/ This table reflects the outcome of an investigation. In some instances an investigation may have more than one outcome reported. Therefore, the data displayed on this table may not correspond to the numbers on Table 1.

**TABLE 4**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTION ACTIVITY**  
**October – December 2005**

County	Referrals Received	Referrals Available <sup>a/</sup>	Prosecutions Filed	Decision Made Not to Prosecute
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>762</b>	<b>2,854</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>132</b>
Alameda	9	414	8	1
Alpine	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	3	0	0
Butte	6	17	9	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	2	19	3	0
Del Norte	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	1	1	2	0
Fresno	77	181	64	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	3	7	1	1
Imperial	1	44	0	0
Inyo	2	5	0	1
Kern	43	117	67	4
Kings	7	9	0	1
Lake	1	9	0	0
Lassen	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	250	481	92	10
Madera	2	2	2	0
Marin	0	7	3	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	0	4	0	0
Merced	17	43	5	5
Modoc	0	1	1	0
Mono	4	4	7	0
Monterey	9	47	4	0
Napa	0	8	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0
Orange	17	54	12	0
Placer	1	44	0	1
Plumas	0	1	0	0
Riverside	39	50	36	2
Sacramento	11	394	7	1
San Benito	6	6	0	0
San Bernardino	18	19	19	0
San Diego	38	53	22	4
San Francisco	3	100	2	0
San Joaquin	6	94	7	0
San Luis Obispo	90	288	2	90
San Mateo	5	6	6	0
Santa Barbara	13	13	8	5
Santa Clara	20	34	16	0
Santa Cruz	2	4	2	0
Shasta	7	7	5	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	1	5	0	0
Solano	3	161	11	1
Sonoma	4	9	1	1
Stanislaus	5	5	5	0
Sutter	6	6	6	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0
Trinity	2	2	2	0
Tulare	2	2	2	0
Tuolumne	4	7	0	0
Ventura	9	36	10	0
Yolo	14	14	14	0
Yuba	2	17	5	4

<sup>a/</sup> Data is from DPA 266 October 2005 Item 23 Referrals pending beginning of month plus October, November and December 2005's Item 24 Referrals received during the month.

**TABLE 5**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**PROSECUTION OUTCOMES<sup>a/</sup>**  
**October – December 2005**

County	Convictions	Administrative Action	Disqualification Consent Agreements	Charge Pleaded	Dismissals	Acquittals	Declinations	Expiration of Statutes
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>
Alameda	4	0	0	0	6	0	1	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	14	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	53	3	0	0	1	0	0	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Imperial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kings	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	14
Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lassen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	79	0	0	0	9	0	0	0
Madera	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Marin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merced	18	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Modoc	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Mono	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Monterey	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
Napa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	10	2	0	9	0	0	0	0
Placer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plumas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside	37	0	0	65	2	0	0	0
Sacramento	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Benito	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	20	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
San Diego	24	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
San Francisco	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Joaquin	15	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	5	4	4	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solano	10	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
Sonoma	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Stanislaus	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutter	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare	22	0	0	19	1	1	0	0
Tuolumne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yolo	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	1	0	2	3	1	0	4	0

a/ This table reflects the outcome of a prosecution. In some instances a prosecution may have more than one outcome reported.

**TABLE 6**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**FRAUD OVERPAYMENTS AND COLLECTIONS**  
**October – December 2005**

<b>County</b>	<b>Fraud Overpayments Identified</b>	<b>Total Collections</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>\$4,109,274</b>	<b>\$4,358,874</b>
Alameda	106,701	411,993
Alpine	0	0
Amador	0	1,003
Butte	11,007	6,647
Calaveras	2,220	847
Colusa	0	198
Contra Costa <b>b/</b>	38,227	70,275
Del Norte	11,057	7,195
El Dorado	22,294	14,201
Fresno	127,555	215,696
Glenn	966	3,106
Humboldt	6,577	8,138
Imperial	0	0
Inyo	0	299
Kern	150,557	93,417
Kings	2,127	42,972
Lake	0	2,935
Lassen	779	1,514
Los Angeles	1,863,913	1,380,290
Madera	30,371	11,083
Marin	4,794	21,522
Mariposa	4,091	480
Mendocino	27,479	22,852
Merced <b>a/</b>	36,848	79,750
Modoc	0	1,471
Mono	1,600	43,300
Monterey	29,759	45,935
Napa	867	2,317
Nevada	1,154	3,533
Orange	119,595	120,340
Placer <b>b/</b>	671	12,942
Plumas	1,080	1,858
Riverside <b>a/</b>	220,834	221,438
Sacramento <b>b/</b>	132,364	226,397
San Benito	1,079	27,779
San Bernardino <b>a/</b>	29,188	126,673
San Diego	315,458	286,999
San Francisco <b>b/</b>	48,302	85,614
San Joaquin	50,597	48,765
San Luis Obispo	9,279	5,789
San Mateo <b>b/</b>	36,037	1,461
Santa Barbara	37,374	122,312
Santa Clara <b>b/</b>	239,764	129,508
Santa Cruz <b>b/</b>	39,340	3,716
Shasta	28,552	15,347
Sierra	0	6,670
Siskiyou	8,700	9,250
Solano <b>b/</b>	47,138	101,981
Sonoma <b>b/</b>	39,457	51,033
Stanislaus <b>a/</b>	79,762	121,215
Sutter	19,799	5,011
Tehama	0	883
Trinity	0	785
Tulare	53,072	24,023
Tuolumne	5,880	3,335
Ventura	9,465	59,159
Yolo <b>b/</b>	45,964	34,226
Yuba	9,581	11,396

**a/** Merced, Riverside, San Bernardino and Stanislaus' collections data was generated by C-IV system and may be incomplete/incorrect for this period.

**b/** Contra Costa, Placer, Sacramento, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Solano, Sonoma and Yolo's collections data was generated by CalWIN system and may be incomplete/incorrect for this period.

**Food Stamp**

**Tables**

**TABLE 7**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS BY SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE UNITS**  
**October – December 2005**

County	Participating Households <sup>a/</sup>	Investigation Requests		Investigations Completed	Evidence to Support an Allegation of Fraud		Investigations Pending End of Quarter <sup>b/</sup>
		Received	Accepted		Sufficient	Not Sufficient	
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>737,316</b>	<b>51,269</b>	<b>50,321</b>	<b>50,562</b>	<b>15,021</b>	<b>35,541</b>	<b>109,726</b>
Alameda	18,519	921	921	811	410	401	1,088
Alpine	32	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	509	31	31	38	12	26	80
Butte	7,107	457	443	438	145	293	781
Calaveras	762	565	565	565	217	348	0
Colusa	446	12	11	5	2	3	18
Contra Costa	0	505	487	344	226	118	395
Del Norte	1,685	18	18	6	2	4	71
El Dorado	1,869	117	93	160	101	59	280
Fresno	40,609	428	425	356	209	147	1,072
Glenn	873	256	256	204	55	149	261
Humboldt	5,102	523	523	529	102	427	98
Imperial	6,098	100	100	85	47	38	710
Inyo	413	6	6	2	2	0	58
Kern	18,391	1,054	1,025	1,164	774	390	545
Kings	5,263	753	753	776	233	543	322
Lake	2,292	103	103	100	47	53	139
Lassen	830	74	74	56	9	47	23
Los Angeles	285,939	11,041	10,584	17,204	3,005	14,199	78,383
Madera	5,283	1,009	1,009	929	69	860	269
Marin	2,408	78	78	87	40	47	991
Mariposa	374	7	7	7	3	4	51
Mendocino	3,724	61	61	63	36	27	579
Merced	10,435	426	405	421	148	273	533
Modoc	330	162	162	131	53	78	67
Mono	151	0	0	0	0	0	2
Monterey	6,751	519	513	321	60	261	390
Napa	1,144	78	78	37	14	23	278
Nevada	1,008	26	26	21	20	1	86
Orange	30,845	2,338	2,335	2,482	1,306	1,176	133
Placer	2,417	29	29	16	6	10	190
Plumas	283	35	35	41	26	15	12
Riverside	28,637	9,502	9,472	9,529	2,102	7,427	1,429
Sacramento	40,105	919	846	964	533	431	3,265
San Benito	1,148	21	21	23	17	6	30
San Bernardino	48,790	6,066	6,066	947	450	497	704
San Diego	32,035	3,727	3,597	3,592	967	2,625	995
San Francisco	6,626	168	168	504	502	2	4,457
San Joaquin	19,649	234	234	166	108	58	291
San Luis Obispo	3,423	112	112	121	51	70	176
San Mateo	2,729	461	461	9	9	0	2,996
Santa Barbara	7,930	319	319	351	168	183	179
Santa Clara	0	1,021	965	951	391	560	377
Santa Cruz	4,744	1,045	1,045	570	202	368	790
Shasta	5,501	344	344	366	178	188	262
Sierra	74	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	1,722	630	630	705	326	379	108
Solano	6,749	749	746	704	232	472	1,198
Sonoma	6,174	363	363	305	109	196	674
Stanislaus	14,890	1,139	1,118	816	435	381	1,815
Sutter	1,997	379	379	371	141	230	32
Tehama	2,092	183	183	151	24	127	83
Trinity	402	65	65	63	2	61	52
Tulare	21,546	280	280	275	6	269	545
Tuolumne	1,217	342	283	255	118	137	134
Ventura	10,961	309	309	308	133	175	365
Yolo	3,455	146	146	123	41	82	813
Yuba	2,829	1,013	1,013	994	397	597	51

a/ Data is the monthly average of the Federal and State Public Assistance and Nonassistance Food Stamp participating households from the DFA 256 report. NOTE: County numbers may not add to Statewide total due to rounding.

b/ Data is from DPA 266 December 2005 Item 7 Investigations pending at the end of the month. The investigations pending numbers include county case adjustments that occurred during the quarter. NOTE: The statewide total of investigations pending at the beginning of the quarter from DPA 266 October 2005 Item 4 was 115,063.

**TABLE 8**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**REQUESTS ACCEPTED FOR INVESTIGATION BY PRIMARY ELEMENT OF FRAUD**  
**October – December 2005**

County	Early Fraud Prevention/ Detection	Income and Eligibility Verification System	Family (Household) Composition	Other Unreported Income	Residence	Unreported Resources/ Assets	Other <sup>a/</sup>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>29,248</b>	<b>4,298</b>	<b>4,756</b>	<b>1,722</b>	<b>1,859</b>	<b>692</b>	<b>7,746</b>
Alameda	425	44	43	14	12	23	360
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	8	4	3	0	16
Butte	88	4	99	41	82	14	115
Calaveras	488	1	25	5	11	8	27
Colusa	0	0	5	0	0	0	6
Contra Costa	220	183	10	35	0	1	38
Del Norte	1	1	3	0	1	0	12
El Dorado	4	7	25	20	5	3	29
Fresno	118	58	144	50	12	3	40
Glenn	127	11	29	59	18	2	10
Humboldt	19	2	325	20	4	96	57
Imperial	29	0	28	15	27	0	1
Inyo	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Kern	486	35	266	131	37	14	56
Kings	611	4	79	28	12	1	18
Lake	8	2	29	3	17	1	43
Lassen	60	0	9	2	3	0	0
Los Angeles	5,191	2,699	30	111	0	9	2,544
Madera	930	19	29	13	2	0	16
Marin	1	17	6	0	11	2	41
Mariposa	1	0	1	1	3	0	1
Mendocino	3	15	13	5	7	7	11
Merced	35	18	104	34	34	6	174
Modoc	146	0	4	6	2	1	3
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	185	19	14	6	67	1	221
Napa	0	0	19	11	4	4	40
Nevada	16	0	3	0	6	1	0
Orange	2,179	29	38	29	8	1	51
Placer	0	0	5	1	3	8	12
Plumas	21	0	9	1	3	1	0
Riverside	8,882	42	243	64	22	18	201
Sacramento	452	8	86	40	55	1	204
San Benito	0	9	0	3	2	0	7
San Bernardino	28	232	1,864	476	743	241	2,482
San Diego	3,260	163	81	56	4	4	29
San Francisco	75	0	0	0	0	0	93
San Joaquin	121	2	36	5	4	2	64
San Luis Obispo	17	1	34	21	18	1	20
San Mateo	168	1	53	82	94	0	63
Santa Barbara	166	9	53	28	12	3	48
Santa Clara	815	79	47	14	1	7	2
Santa Cruz	988	3	2	0	0	1	51
Shasta	226	0	69	23	5	1	20
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	272	0	61	22	74	179	22
Solano	485	140	50	23	20	0	28
Sonoma	24	144	31	8	0	2	154
Stanislaus	151	228	375	46	195	4	119
Sutter	293	2	29	43	9	0	3
Tehama	68	6	49	18	11	7	24
Trinity	15	14	8	6	5	3	14
Tulare	0	13	102	37	108	1	19
Tuolumne	236	0	16	6	10	1	14
Ventura	238	1	18	2	1	6	43
Yolo	65	25	18	13	8	2	15
Yuba	811	8	29	35	64	1	65

a/ "Other" includes replaced lost Food Stamps, duplicate (multiple) aid, forgery, fictitious identity, Food Stamp trafficking, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.

**TABLE 9**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**INVESTIGATION RESULTS<sup>a/</sup>**  
**October – December 2005**

County	Early Fraud (Denials/Benefits Reduced/ Discontinuances)	Referred for		Restitution Action	Benefits Reduced or Discontinued (Non-Early Fraud)	Fraud Found, No Adverse Financial Impact	Expiration of Statutes
		Prosecution	Administrative Disqualification Hearing				
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>8,582</b>	<b>778</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>2,163</b>	<b>2,198</b>	<b>2,208</b>	<b>64</b>
Alameda	389	10	0	10	0	1	15
Alpine	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	8	0	0	0	0	8	0
Butte	83	7	0	10	39	12	14
Calaveras	183	0	0	3	30	1	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Contra Costa	73	5	0	53	0	95	0
Del Norte	3	0	0	0	2	0	0
El Dorado	2	3	0	23	10	69	0
Fresno	31	89	37	0	52	0	3
Glenn	15	0	0	2	16	22	0
Humboldt	31	4	0	33	43	22	0
Imperial	7	2	0	2	14	1	0
Inyo	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	372	54	0	69	212	76	0
Kings	163	9	0	7	30	24	0
Lake	16	1	0	11	20	3	0
Lassen	12	0	0	0	2	0	0
Los Angeles	1,477	305	0	949	58	844	0
Madera	6	10	0	20	29	4	0
Marin	6	0	0	13	13	8	0
Mariposa	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
Mendocino	2	0	0	27	3	5	3
Merced	17	6	0	8	148	22	0
Modoc	49	0	0	0	1	3	1
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	79	8	0	7	29	5	6
Napa	3	0	0	8	13	3	0
Nevada	10	0	0	3	6	1	0
Orange	885	23	7	32	475	91	1
Placer	0	0	0	1	4	1	0
Plumas	9	0	0	2	8	7	0
Riverside	1,919	46	0	121	2	14	0
Sacramento	363	13	0	59	95	3	4
San Benito	4	0	0	0	11	2	0
San Bernardino	127	20	0	35	221	21	0
San Diego	617	37	33	237	1	107	0
San Francisco	2	5	0	2	0	0	2
San Joaquin	64	8	7	1	19	14	8
San Luis Obispo	9	2	0	8	41	3	0
San Mateo	56	9	0	39	59	15	0
Santa Barbara	57	18	0	61	56	21	0
Santa Clara	236	17	0	16	0	122	1
Santa Cruz	166	4	0	9	23	0	0
Shasta	89	11	0	6	25	47	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	72	1	0	15	60	214	0
Solano	118	3	0	41	23	29	4
Sonoma	17	3	0	55	20	88	0
Stanislaus	90	4	0	124	211	96	0
Sutter	74	7	0	12	17	36	0
Tehama	8	2	0	1	9	4	0
Trinity	3	2	0	0	2	0	0
Tulare	0	2	0	0	0	4	2
Tuolumne	76	3	0	5	13	22	0
Ventura	126	7	0	0	0	0	0
Yolo	19	13	0	7	0	4	0
Yuba	334	3	0	16	32	12	0

a/ This table reflects the outcome of an investigation. In some instances an investigation may have more than one outcome reported. Therefore, the data displayed on this table may not correspond to the numbers on Table 7.

**TABLE 10**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTION ACTIVITY**  
**October – December 2005**

County	Referrals Received	Referrals Available <sup>a/</sup>	Prosecutions Filed	Decision Made Not to Prosecute
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>929</b>	<b>3,617</b>	<b>527</b>	<b>176</b>
Alameda	10	314	9	1
Alpine	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	5	0	0
Butte	7	20	10	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	2	15	3	0
Del Norte	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	1	1	2	0
Fresno	89	148	74	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	4	8	1	1
Imperial	2	45	0	0
Inyo	2	5	0	1
Kern	55	133	75	5
Kings	9	17	3	3
Lake	1	9	0	0
Lassen	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	305	1,173	89	10
Madera	10	10	10	0
Marin	0	8	3	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	0	4	0	0
Merced	27	61	5	9
Modoc	0	1	1	0
Mono	5	5	12	0
Monterey	8	50	4	0
Napa	0	11	1	0
Nevada	0	1	0	0
Orange	23	56	18	0
Placer	1	53	0	1
Plumas	0	2	0	0
Riverside	48	61	44	2
Sacramento	13	301	7	1
San Benito	8	10	0	0
San Bernardino	20	21	21	0
San Diego	37	87	18	5
San Francisco	5	147	3	1
San Joaquin	8	130	9	0
San Luis Obispo	112	359	2	119
San Mateo	8	14	9	0
Santa Barbara	18	18	10	8
Santa Clara	17	31	15	0
Santa Cruz	4	4	4	0
Shasta	11	12	6	3
Sierra	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	1	5	0	0
Solano	3	157	12	1
Sonoma	4	10	1	1
Stanislaus	4	4	4	0
Sutter	7	7	7	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0
Trinity	2	2	2	0
Tulare	2	2	2	0
Tuolumne	3	7	0	0
Ventura	12	35	10	0
Yolo	19	19	16	0
Yuba	2	19	5	4

<sup>a/</sup> Data is from DPA 266 October 2005 Item 23 Referrals pending beginning of month plus October, November and December 2005's Item 24 Referrals received during the month.

**TABLE 11**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**PROSECUTION OUTCOMES<sup>a/</sup>**  
**October – December 2005**

County	Convictions	Administrative Action	Disqualification Consent Agreements	Charge Pleaded	Dismissals	Acquittals	Declinations	Expiration of Statutes
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>
Alameda	5	0	0	0	6	0	1	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	15	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	56	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Imperial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	37	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Kings	4	0	3	0	2	0	0	12
Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lassen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	70	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Madera	9	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
Marin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merced	30	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
Modoc	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Mono	6	1	0	4	0	0	0	0
Monterey	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Napa	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	10	3	0	17	0	0	0	0
Placer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plumas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside	45	0	0	63	4	0	0	0
Sacramento	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Benito	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	25	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
San Diego	25	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
San Francisco	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
San Joaquin	13	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
San Luis Obispo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	7	5	8	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	24	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solano	11	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
Sonoma	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Stanislaus	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutter	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare	25	0	0	21	1	1	0	0
Tuolumne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yolo	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	1	0	2	3	1	0	4	0

a/ This table reflects the outcome of a prosecution. In some instances a prosecution may have more than one outcome reported.

**TABLE 12**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**FRAUD OVERISSUANCES AND COLLECTIONS**  
**October – December 2005**

<b>County</b>	<b>Fraud Overissuances Identified</b>	<b>Total Collections</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>\$2,749,377</b>	<b>\$1,376,471</b>
Alameda	50,528	95,925
Alpine	0	0
Amador	0	216
Butte	8,637	5,278
Calaveras	3,935	453
Colusa	0	280
Contra Costa <b>b/</b>	40,725	10,488
Del Norte	5,698	5,882
El Dorado	16,874	8,287
Fresno	92,348	40,785
Glenn	2,767	5,439
Humboldt	10,231	18,078
Imperial	0	0
Inyo	0	1,304
Kern	138,724	66,513
Kings	4,273	41,390
Lake	0	2,063
Lassen	40	215
Los Angeles	838,958	112,807
Madera	25,274	12,468
Marin	9,036	16,887
Mariposa	2,552	118
Mendocino	19,254	13,879
Merced <b>a/</b>	17,472	56,656
Modoc	0	200
Mono	0	13,479
Monterey	22,310	28,229
Napa	5,074	2,073
Nevada	5,045	901
Orange	69,502	41,743
Placer <b>b/</b>	0	5,231
Plumas	904	815
Riverside <b>a/</b>	225,253	120,154
Sacramento <b>b/</b>	123,486	44,899
San Benito	1,887	6,837
San Bernardino <b>a/</b>	59,096	72,009
San Diego	199,046	43,555
San Francisco <b>b/</b>	107,769	56,595
San Joaquin	22,407	19,723
San Luis Obispo	9,740	520
San Mateo <b>b/</b>	23,516	735
Santa Barbara	38,881	39,963
Santa Clara <b>b/</b>	218,480	124,246
Santa Cruz <b>b/</b>	19,338	1,850
Shasta	17,799	11,099
Sierra	0	87
Siskiyou	6,700	6,846
Solano <b>b/</b>	27,238	58,205
Sonoma <b>b/</b>	17,784	23,712
Stanislaus <b>a/</b>	114,812	86,966
Sutter	11,677	2,864
Tehama	0	485
Trinity	0	94
Tulare	52,990	14,113
Tuolumne	5,405	4,786
Ventura	18,662	5,426
Yolo <b>b/</b>	29,306	16,708
Yuba	7,945	5,914

**a/** Merced, Riverside, San Bernardino and Stanislaus' collections data was generated by C-IV system and may be incomplete/incorrect for this period.

**b/** Contra Costa, Placer, Sacramento, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Solano, Sonoma and Yolo's collections data was generated by CalWIN system and may be incomplete/incorrect for this period.



**CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**  
Cliff Allenby, Interim Director