

**DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



July 21, 2005

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 05/06-07

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS  
ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2005/06 COMMUNITY CARE LICENSING  
(CCL) ALLOCATION FOR FOSTER FAMILY HOMES (FFH) AND  
FAMILY CHILD CARE HOMES (FCCH)

The purpose of this letter is to provide counties with their FY 2005/06 allocations for the CCL - FFH and FCCH programs. A total of \$5,386,000 in State General Fund (SGF) for FFH and \$1,731,000 for FCCH in both Federal and State General Fund (SGF) was made available upon approval of the FY 2005/06 State Budget Act.

**FFH Licensing and FFH Recruitment**

Attachment I displays the allocation of the \$5,369,000 SGF for the FFH Licensing and FFH Recruitment. For those counties performing FFH Licensing activities, the funds were distributed by using each county's average monthly FFH caseload for calendar year (CY) 2004, and divided by the workload standards of 133 facilities per month per full-time equivalent (FTE). This resulted in the number of justified staff required to license this category of facility. A worker to supervisor ratio of 6.35:1 was applied to the justified staffing level and the total staffing level was then multiplied by the individual county's actual FFH unit cost.

An additional \$17,000 in SGF was allocated to those counties performing FFH Licensing activities for the Gresher v. Anderson premise. This premise covers costs for additional time necessary for the issuance of exemption needed notices and exemption denied notices to individuals with criminal convictions requiring exemptions. Funds were allocated on a percent to total based on CY 2004 FFH caseload.

For those counties that do not participate in the licensing program, the FFH Recruitment allocation funds were distributed based on each county's average monthly cases in Family Reunification and Permanent Placement for CY 2004, and the number of children under 18 years of age using the most recent data from the U.S. Census Bureau.

For your information only, Attachment II displays the \$2,019,000 in Federal Title XX allocation funds. These funds were distributed based on a percent to total of each county's FFH Licensing allocation.

Through the use of State Use Only (SUO) Codes, funding will be shifted from SGF CCL/FFH to Federal Title XX. This requires no input by the County. SUO Code 336 will add together the State portions of PC 156 and back out these costs from SGF. SUO Code 337 will then fund the amount of SUO Code 336 with Federal Title XX monies and will be tracked against the new Title XX Licensing Ledger. Expenditures exceeding the Title XX Licensing Allocation will be transferred back to SGF CCL/FFH using SUO Code 338.

The FFH Licensing and Recruitment administrative costs, including staff development, are charged to Program Codes (PCs) 155, 156 and 158 - Foster Family Licensing/Recruitment, on the County Expense Claim (CEC). SUO Code 192 will continue to be used for expenditures exceeding the SGF CCL/FFH allocation which will be transferred to county share.

### **FCCH Licensing**

Attachment III displays the SGF and Federal allocation of \$1,731,000 for FCCH Licensing. \$1,403,000 SGF for FCCH Basic and \$318,000 reimbursement funds were distributed by using each county's average monthly FCCH caseload for CY 2004 as reported on the LIC 181, and divided by the workload standard of 309 facilities per month per FTE. This resulted in the number of justified staff required to license this category of facility. A worker to supervisor ratio of 6.35:1 was applied to the justified staffing level and the total staffing level was then multiplied by the individual county's actual FCCH unit cost. An additional \$10,000 in SGF was allocated for the Gresher v. Anderson premise based on a percent to total of the FCCH caseload.

The FCCH Licensing administrative costs, including staff development are charged to PC 157 - Licensing - Family Day Care, on the CEC. SUO Codes 061 and 062 will be used to insure that 100 percent of the federal reimbursement funds are expended before counties can access their SGF allocation. Expenditures exceeding the SGF allocation will be transferred to county share using SUO Code 153.

Any questions concerning this allocation can be directed to [fiscal.systems@dss.ca.gov](mailto:fiscal.systems@dss.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

KATHY FARMER, Chief  
Financial Management and Contracts Branch

Attachments  
c: CWDA

## FY 2005/06 CCL - FOSTER FAMILY HOMES ALLOCATION

COUNTY	FY 2005/06 FFH BASIC SGF	FY 2005/06 FFH-RECRUITMENT SGF	GRESHER v. ANDERSON SGF	TOTAL FFH ALLOCATION SGF
Alameda	\$248,961	\$0	\$531	\$249,492
Alpine	\$0	\$60	\$0	\$60
Amador	\$0	\$602	\$0	\$602
Butte	\$25,157	\$0	\$147	\$25,304
Calaveras	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Colusa	\$0	\$737	\$0	\$737
Contra Costa	\$287,598	\$0	\$911	\$288,509
Del Norte	\$14,869	\$0	\$86	\$14,955
El Dorado	\$32,289	\$0	\$145	\$32,434
Fresno	\$132,675	\$0	\$616	\$133,291
Glenn	\$4,397	\$0	\$25	\$4,422
Humboldt	\$0	\$4,113	\$0	\$4,113
Imperial	\$31,046	\$0	\$168	\$31,214
Inyo	\$6,773	\$0	\$21	\$6,794
Kern	\$191,613	\$0	\$863	\$192,476
Kings	\$19,970	\$0	\$147	\$20,117
Lake	\$0	\$2,908	\$0	\$2,908
Lassen	\$0	\$1,184	\$0	\$1,184
Los Angeles	\$0	\$424,422	\$0	\$424,422
Madera	\$0	\$5,852	\$0	\$5,852
Marin	\$43,777	\$0	\$133	\$43,910
Mariposa	\$9,470	\$0	\$43	\$9,513
Mendocino	\$64,184	\$0	\$157	\$64,341
Merced	\$32,556	\$0	\$131	\$32,687
Modoc	\$0	\$316	\$0	\$316
Mono	\$0	\$161	\$0	\$161
Monterey	\$65,695	\$0	\$229	\$65,924
Napa	\$36,360	\$0	\$133	\$36,493
Nevada	\$0	\$1,711	\$0	\$1,711
Orange	\$417,484	\$0	\$1,290	\$418,774
Placer	\$39,159	\$0	\$226	\$39,385
Plumas	\$0	\$661	\$0	\$661
Riverside	\$0	\$67,273	\$0	\$67,273
Sacramento	\$303,789	\$0	\$895	\$304,684
San Benito	\$2,069	\$0	\$23	\$2,092
San Bernardino	\$396,817	\$0	\$1,269	\$398,086
San Diego	\$888,716	\$0	\$3,579	\$892,295
San Francisco	\$128,394	\$0	\$339	\$128,733
San Joaquin	\$133,582	\$0	\$373	\$133,955
San Luis Obispo	\$55,008	\$0	\$176	\$55,184
San Mateo	\$114,873	\$0	\$282	\$115,155
Santa Barbara	\$83,895	\$0	\$270	\$84,165
Santa Clara	\$407,020	\$0	\$1,105	\$408,125
Santa Cruz	\$83,411	\$0	\$234	\$83,645
Shasta	\$74,210	\$0	\$392	\$74,602
Sierra	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Siskiyou	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Solano	\$84,439	\$0	\$322	\$84,761
Sonoma	\$89,393	\$0	\$278	\$89,671
Stanislaus	\$68,444	\$0	\$259	\$68,703
Sutter	\$17,448	\$0	\$44	\$17,492
Tehama	\$20,374	\$0	\$80	\$20,454
Trinity	\$9,917	\$0	\$38	\$9,955
Tulare	\$64,406	\$0	\$480	\$64,886
Tuolumne	\$13,187	\$0	\$73	\$13,260
Ventura	\$102,502	\$0	\$433	\$102,935
Yolo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Yuba	\$13,073	\$0	\$54	\$13,127
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,859,000</b>	<b>\$510,000</b>	<b>\$17,000</b>	<b>\$5,386,000</b>

	FY 2005/06 CCL FFH-TITLE XX FEDERAL <i>Display Only</i>
COUNTY	
Alameda	\$103,448
Alpine	\$0
Amador	\$0
Butte	\$10,453
Calaveras	\$0
Colusa	\$0
Contra Costa	\$119,502
Del Norte	\$6,178
El Dorado	\$13,417
Fresno	\$55,129
Glenn	\$1,827
Humboldt	\$0
Imperial	\$12,900
Inyo	\$2,814
Kern	\$79,618
Kings	\$8,298
Lake	\$0
Lassen	\$0
Los Angeles	\$0
Madera	\$0
Marin	\$18,190
Mariposa	\$3,935
Mendocino	\$26,669
Merced	\$13,528
Modoc	\$0
Mono	\$0
Monterey	\$27,297
Napa	\$15,108
Nevada	\$0
Orange	\$173,472
Placer	\$16,271
Plumas	\$0
Riverside	\$0
Sacramento	\$126,230
San Benito	\$860
San Bernardino	\$164,884
San Diego	\$369,275
San Francisco	\$53,350
San Joaquin	\$55,506
San Luis Obispo	\$22,857
San Mateo	\$47,732
Santa Barbara	\$34,860
Santa Clara	\$169,124
Santa Cruz	\$34,659
Shasta	\$30,836
Sierra	\$0
Siskiyou	\$0
Solano	\$35,086
Sonoma	\$37,145
Stanislaus	\$28,440
Sutter	\$7,250
Tehama	\$8,466
Trinity	\$4,121
Tulare	\$26,762
Tuolumne	\$5,480
Ventura	\$42,591
Yolo	\$0
Yuba	\$5,432
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,019,000</b>

## FY 2005/06 CCL - FAMILY CHILD CARE HOMES ALLOCATION

COUNTY	FY 2005/06 FCCH BASIC SGF	FY 2005/06 FCCH-REIMBURSEMENT FEDERAL	GRESHER v. ANDERSON SGF	TOTAL FCCH ALLOCATION FED / SGF
Alameda	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Alpine	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Amador	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Butte	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Calaveras	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Colusa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contra Costa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Del Norte	\$16,981	\$3,849	\$168	\$20,998
El Dorado	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fresno	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Glenn	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Humboldt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Imperial	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inyo	\$14,486	\$3,283	\$88	\$17,857
Kern	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kings	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lake	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lassen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Los Angeles	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Madera	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Marin	\$98,218	\$22,262	\$609	\$121,089
Mariposa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mendocino	\$64,099	\$14,529	\$365	\$78,993
Merced	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Modoc	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mono	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Monterey	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Napa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nevada	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orange	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Placer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Plumas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Riverside	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sacramento	\$1,181,536	\$267,803	\$8,514	\$1,457,853
San Benito	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Bernardino	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Diego	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Francisco	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Joaquin	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Luis Obispo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Mateo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Santa Barbara	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Santa Clara	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Santa Cruz	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shasta	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sierra	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Siskiyou	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Solano	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sonoma	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Stanislaus	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sutter	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tehama	\$27,680	\$6,274	\$256	\$34,210
Trinity	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tulare	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tuolumne	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ventura	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Yolo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Yuba	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,403,000</b>	<b>\$318,000</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>	<b>\$1,731,000</b>