

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



December 6, 2004

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 04/05-32

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS
ALL COUNTY FISCAL OFFICERS

SUBJECT: REVISED FISCAL YEAR 2004-05 CHILD WELFARE SERVICES
AUGMENTATION PLANNING ALLOCATION

REFERENCES: CFL NO. 04/05-13, DATED AUGUST 30, 2004; CFL NO. 04/05-24,
DATED SEPTEMBER 17, 2004; CFL NO. 04/05-30, DATED
OCTOBER 18, 2004; AND ALL COUNTY LETTER (ACL)
NO. 04-45, DATED OCTOBER 26, 2004

The purpose of this letter is to inform counties of a revision to the Fiscal Year (FY) 2004-05 Child Welfare Services (CWS) Augmentation planning allocation. The allocation is being revised to correct a discrepancy in the methodology used to distribute these funds.

As stated in the previous CFLs pertaining to the FY 2004-05 CWS Augmentation planning allocation, funds were distributed based on a percent to total of each county's Justified Full Time Equivalent (FTE) positions, with an adjustment to ensure that each county received a minimum allocation of \$100,000. This methodology was thought to be consistent with the methodology used previously. However, upon investigation prompted by a county inquiry, it has been determined that while the methodology in the prior two FYs was supposed to have been based on Justified FTEs, the distribution of the CWS Augmentation funds in FYs 2002-03 and 2003-04 was actually based on a percent to total of each county's Hold Harmless FTEs. The situation was further complicated by the fact that the attachment to the earlier CFLs which should have displayed counties' Justified FTEs incorrectly displayed counties' Hold Harmless FTEs.

Because of the number of counties negatively impacted by the inadvertent change in methodology, a decision was made, in consultation with the County Welfare Directors Association, to revise the FY 2004-05 CWS Augmentation planning allocation to be consistent with the methodology used in the previous two FYs. Therefore, the CWS Augmentation funds have been distributed based on a percent to total of each county's Hold Harmless FTEs. The attachment displays counties' revised FY 2004-05 CWS Augmentation planning allocation as well as the Hold Harmless FTEs on which the allocation methodology was based.

Counties are reminded that CWS Augmentation funds are expressly targeted for services provided through the Emergency Response, Family Maintenance, Family Reunification, and Permanent Placement components of CWS, and shall be used to supplement, not supplant, existing CWS funds. Funds will be available to counties contingent upon individual counties meeting the following conditions:

- 1) Matching their total CWS Basic SGF allocation and the Title XX funds that offset the SGF allocation; and,
- 2) Certifying full utilization of the Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS).

ACL No. 04-45 provides instructions on the CWS/CMS Full Utilization Certification process. A separate CFL will be issued to transmit the final FY 2004-05 CWS Augmentation allocation once the certification process has been completed.

Questions concerning this letter can be directed to fiscal.systems@dss.ca.gov.

***Original signed by Gloria Merk
on 12/6/04***

GLORIA MERK
Deputy Director
Administration Division

Attachment

c: CWDA

REVISION II
FY 2004-05 CWS AUGMENTATION PLANNING ALLOCATION

COUNTY	HOLD HARMLESS FTEs	TOTAL FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS	STATE FUNDS	COUNTY FUNDS
Alameda	226.7	\$2,536,827	\$951,310	\$1,585,517	\$0
Alpine	3.0	\$100,000	\$37,500	\$62,500	\$0
Amador	5.9	\$100,000	\$37,500	\$62,500	\$0
Butte	82.3	\$921,397	\$345,524	\$575,873	\$0
Calaveras	12.6	\$141,266	\$52,975	\$88,291	\$0
Colusa	4.5	\$100,000	\$37,500	\$62,500	\$0
Contra Costra	152.6	\$1,707,135	\$640,176	\$1,066,959	\$0
Del Norte	15.2	\$169,484	\$63,557	\$105,928	\$0
El Dorado	23.5	\$262,907	\$98,590	\$164,317	\$0
Fresno	287.6	\$3,217,655	\$1,206,621	\$2,011,034	\$0
Glenn	11.2	\$125,349	\$47,006	\$78,343	\$0
Humboldt	36.7	\$410,143	\$153,804	\$256,339	\$0
Imperial	39.5	\$442,068	\$165,776	\$276,293	\$0
Inyo	6.6	\$100,000	\$37,500	\$62,500	\$0
Kern	284.6	\$3,184,192	\$1,194,072	\$1,990,120	\$0
Kings	36.9	\$412,947	\$154,855	\$258,092	\$0
Lake	17.0	\$190,465	\$71,424	\$119,041	\$0
Lassen	10.0	\$111,874	\$41,953	\$69,921	\$0
Los Angeles	2,708.7	\$30,306,268	\$11,364,846	\$18,941,417	\$0
Madera	34.9	\$390,698	\$146,512	\$244,186	\$0
Marin	24.9	\$278,554	\$104,458	\$174,096	\$0
Mariposa	6.4	\$100,000	\$37,500	\$62,500	\$0
Mendocino	41.9	\$468,838	\$175,814	\$293,024	\$0
Merced	75.3	\$842,263	\$315,849	\$526,414	\$0
Modoc	3.0	\$100,000	\$37,500	\$62,500	\$0
Mono	2.0	\$100,000	\$37,500	\$62,500	\$0
Monterey	44.8	\$500,763	\$187,786	\$312,977	\$0
Napa	11.7	\$130,685	\$49,007	\$81,678	\$0
Nevada	13.5	\$150,943	\$56,604	\$94,339	\$0
Orange	394.5	\$4,414,169	\$1,655,313	\$2,758,856	\$0
Placer	58.1	\$649,536	\$243,576	\$405,960	\$0
Plumas	6.0	\$100,000	\$37,500	\$62,500	\$0
Riverside	492.5	\$5,510,747	\$2,066,530	\$3,444,217	\$0
Sacramento	409.9	\$4,585,732	\$1,719,650	\$2,866,083	\$0
San Benito	9.7	\$108,618	\$40,732	\$67,886	\$0
San Bernardino	475.8	\$5,323,718	\$1,996,394	\$3,327,324	\$0
San Diego	675.0	\$7,552,598	\$2,832,224	\$4,720,374	\$0
San Francisco	121.3	\$1,357,587	\$509,095	\$848,492	\$0
San Joaquin	140.0	\$1,566,773	\$587,540	\$979,233	\$0
San Luis Obispo	62.4	\$698,102	\$261,788	\$436,314	\$0
San Mateo	66.0	\$738,167	\$276,813	\$461,354	\$0
Santa Barbara	47.1	\$526,629	\$197,486	\$329,143	\$0
Santa Clara	239.1	\$2,674,747	\$1,003,030	\$1,671,717	\$0
Santa Cruz	39.3	\$440,169	\$165,063	\$275,106	\$0
Shasta	53.3	\$596,267	\$223,600	\$372,667	\$0
Sierra	2.0	\$100,000	\$37,500	\$62,500	\$0
Siskiyou	14.1	\$157,365	\$59,012	\$98,353	\$0
Solano	49.3	\$551,409	\$206,778	\$344,631	\$0
Sonoma	75.9	\$849,136	\$318,426	\$530,710	\$0
Stanislaus	100.8	\$1,127,418	\$422,782	\$704,636	\$0
Sutter	26.9	\$300,892	\$112,835	\$188,058	\$0
Tehama	20.8	\$232,429	\$87,161	\$145,268	\$0
Trinity	7.6	\$100,000	\$37,500	\$62,500	\$0
Tulare	130.4	\$1,459,421	\$547,283	\$912,138	\$0
Tuolumne	17.9	\$200,504	\$75,189	\$125,315	\$0
Ventura	101.8	\$1,139,175	\$427,191	\$711,984	\$0
Yolo	35.4	\$396,487	\$148,683	\$247,804	\$0
Yuba	33.9	\$379,484	\$142,307	\$237,178	\$0
Total	8,130.3	\$91,440,000	\$34,290,000	\$57,150,000	\$0