

**DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



February 15, 2002

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 01/02-46

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS  
ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: AUGMENTATION TO FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2001/02  
CALIFORNIA WORK OPPORTUNITY AND RESPONSIBILITY  
TO KIDS (CalWORKs) SINGLE ALLOCATION

REFERENCE: CFL NO. 01/02-24 dated September 24, 2001 and  
CFL NO. 01/02-29 dated October 23, 2001

As stated in CFL NO. 01/02-29, Assembly Bill 429 (Chapter 111) amended Section 15204.3(f)(3) of the Welfare and Institutions Code to provide for the identification and distribution of any surplus CalWORKs funds to under-equity counties within 60 days of receipt of the FY 2000/01 fourth quarter claims. The intent of this language was to provide a one-time adjustment to the FY 2001/02 CalWORKs Single Allocation.

The Department developed the methodology for determining which counties are under equity and, therefore, eligible to receive a portion of these surplus funds in consultation with the County Welfare Directors Association as provided in Section 15204.3. The under-equity counties were determined by comparing each county's allocated cost per aided adult, based on their FY 2001/02 Employment Services Allocation, to the statewide average cost per aided adult. Attachment I provides you with a detailed narrative description of this methodology.

In addition, CFL NO. 01/02-29 advised counties that we were going to forego the usual and customary year-end closeout process of redistributing any available statewide surplus to counties that overspent their FY 2000/01 CalWORKs Single Allocation. This agreement was made, in part, due to the fact that the Department anticipated a significant increase in CalWORKs Single Allocation expenditures upon receipt of outstanding supplemental claims which would negatively impact the amount of surplus available for distribution to under-equity counties. However, after receipt of supplemental claims for the March 2001 quarter, we have determined that we will be able to continue with our year-end redistribution policy and still have sufficient surplus funds available for distribution to under-equity counties. At the FY 2000/01 closeout process we will notify counties of the distribution.

A total surplus of \$73.6 million (including \$3.5 million in Welfare to Work State Match funding) was determined to be available at this time. After withholding \$23.1 million to cover the year-end deficit buyout and \$13.5 million, or 0.75 percent of total CalWORKs Single Allocation expenditures reported to date, for outstanding fourth quarter supplementals, a balance of \$37.0 million is available for distribution to the under-equity counties.

Attachment II displays the distribution of the \$37.0 million surplus, including a separate column displaying the portion to be claimed to the Welfare to Work State Match. Also, in the interest of not sending a separate CFL, the fifth column of this attachment displays the reappropriation of individual county's unspent Supportive Services Outreach funds from FY 2000/01 to FY 2001/02.

If you have any questions, please contact your county analyst in the County Financial Analysis Bureau at (916) 657-3806.

GLORIA MERK  
Deputy Director  
Administration Division

Attachments

c: CWDA  
CSAC

**UNDER-EQUITY  
METHODOLOGY**

- Determine the amount of unspent funds from the FY 2000/01 CalWORKs Single Allocation after covering all deficit counties.
- Calculate the allocated cost per CalWORKs aided adult by taking the FY 2001/02 Employment Services Allocation and dividing it by the most recent caseload of aided adults in each county.
- Calculate a county cost of doing business ratio based on the FY 2000/01 budgeted Medi-Cal Eligibility worker unit cost compared to the statewide average cost of a Medi-Cal worker.
- Adjust each county's cost per aided adult by the above ratio.
- Calculate each county's equity target by using the county cost of doing business factor multiplied by the statewide average cost per aided adult. Under-equity counties would be those who's allocated cost per aided adult is less than their equity target.
- Distribute the available funds in a way that fills the gap of each under-equity county by the same proportion. For example, if the total funds available will meet 30 percent of the cumulative equity gap in all under-equity counties, then each under-equity county would get 30 percent of their gap met.

## FY 2001/02 CalWORKs REVISED SINGLE ALLOCATION

COUNTY	FY 01/02 SINGLE ALLOCATION (CFL 01/02-24)	DISTRIBUTION OF FY 00/01 SURPLUS TO UNDER-EQUITY COUNTIES		FY 00/01 SUPPORTIVE SERVICES OUTREACH UNSPENT FUNDS	REVISED FY 01/02 SINGLE ALLOCATION
		WtW STATE MATCH	BALANCE		
ALAMEDA	\$77,423,410	\$77,800	\$724,714	\$0	\$78,225,924
ALPINE	\$348,874	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$353,874
AMADOR	\$947,827	\$0	\$0	\$4,165	\$951,992
BUTTE	\$15,523,152	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,523,152
CALAVERAS	\$1,231,945	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,231,945
COLUSA	\$777,068	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$782,068
CONTRA COSTA	\$44,597,946	\$0	\$0	\$64,105	\$44,662,051
DEL NORTE	\$3,014,107	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,014,107
EL DORADO	\$4,687,533	\$0	\$0	\$8,667	\$4,696,200
FRESNO	\$55,216,645	\$0	\$0	\$134,371	\$55,351,016
GLENN	\$2,356,988	\$0	\$0	\$4,937	\$2,361,925
HUMBOLDT	\$8,478,692	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,478,692
IMPERIAL	\$9,529,327	\$0	\$0	\$32,577	\$9,561,904
INYO	\$1,186,262	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$1,191,262
KERN	\$56,128,908	\$4,646	\$43,278	\$63,541	\$56,240,373
KINGS	\$6,632,617	\$0	\$0	\$9,268	\$6,641,885
LAKE	\$5,215,683	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,215,683
LASSEN	\$1,522,054	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,522,054
LOS ANGELES	\$469,123,710	\$3,049,483	\$28,406,006	\$0	\$500,579,199
MADERA	\$6,488,270	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,488,270
MARIN	\$4,105,944	\$0	\$0	\$10,178	\$4,116,122
MARIPOSA	\$949,525	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$954,525
MENDOCINO	\$5,356,651	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,356,651
MERCED	\$22,213,910	\$0	\$0	\$32,419	\$22,246,329
MODOC	\$1,004,760	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$1,009,760
MONO	\$687,879	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$692,879
MONTEREY	\$14,889,626	\$26,326	\$245,231	\$33,194	\$15,194,377
NAPA	\$2,341,069	\$0	\$0	\$6,449	\$2,347,518
NEVADA	\$3,093,330	\$0	\$0	\$5,327	\$3,098,657
ORANGE	\$87,763,165	\$0	\$0	\$165,214	\$87,928,379
PLACER	\$9,420,015	\$0	\$0	\$11,802	\$9,431,817
PLUMAS	\$1,286,481	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$1,291,481
RIVERSIDE	\$93,338,028	\$0	\$0	\$131,667	\$93,469,695
SACRAMENTO	\$117,774,600	\$416,234	\$3,877,227	\$0	\$122,068,061
SAN BENITO	\$1,631,444	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$1,636,444
SAN BERNARDINO	\$122,510,269	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$122,510,269
SAN DIEGO	\$103,825,712	\$0	\$0	\$52,469	\$103,878,181
SAN FRANCISCO	\$36,941,285	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$36,941,285
SAN JOAQUIN	\$33,574,451	\$0	\$0	\$80,907	\$33,655,358
SAN LUIS OBISPO	\$9,936,217	\$0	\$0	\$16,095	\$9,952,312
SAN MATEO	\$13,683,071	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,683,071
SANTA BARBARA	\$14,829,260	\$0	\$0	\$28,827	\$14,858,087
SANTA CLARA	\$62,537,059	\$0	\$0	\$20,297	\$62,557,356
SANTA CRUZ	\$12,380,345	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,380,345
SHASTA	\$8,476,813	\$12,511	\$116,544	\$0	\$8,605,868
SIERRA	\$316,968	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$316,968
SISKIYOU	\$2,528,761	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,528,761
SOLANO	\$23,101,040	\$0	\$0	\$29,527	\$23,130,567
SONOMA	\$15,024,934	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,024,934
STANSLAUS	\$26,682,564	\$0	\$0	\$59,562	\$26,742,126
SUTTER	\$3,798,052	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,798,052
TEHAMA	\$3,845,713	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,845,713
TRINITY	\$913,175	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$913,175
TULARE	\$26,776,828	\$0	\$0	\$59,845	\$26,836,673
TUOLUMNE	\$2,011,688	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,011,688
VENTURA	\$21,971,447	\$0	\$0	\$43,934	\$22,015,381
YOLO	\$9,510,574	\$0	\$0	\$15,490	\$9,526,064
YUBA	\$8,939,997	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,939,997
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,700,403,668</b>	<b>\$3,587,000</b>	<b>\$33,413,000</b>	<b>\$1,164,834</b>	<b>\$1,738,568,502</b>