

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

Overall Report  (check one)  
Two-parent Report

Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate?  Yes  No

### PART 1 – Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005

1. Name of eligibility change: **Extend Foster Care, Kin-GAP and AAP Benefits (AB 12)**
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: **January 1, 2012**
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

**This program will extend Foster Care (FC) to youths who would have otherwise enrolled in the California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) program after emancipating. As a result of AB 12, the benefits will be extended to youth up to age 21. It includes cost avoidance to CalWORKs because these cases will instead receive extended FC benefits.**

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):

**In the absence of this policy, the average monthly TANF caseload for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2012 would have been 577,372 (577,357 + 15).**

**Based on the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) Foster Care Emancipation Exit Outcomes Report (SOC 405 E) for January through December 2009, it is assumed that 5.4 percent of emancipated FC youth enroll in the CalWORKs program. This percentage is extended to continue receiving FC benefits as a result of AB 12 and will not enroll in CalWORKs. The extension of benefits of AB 12 thus results in cost avoidance to the CalWORKs program for an average of 15 cases per month in FFY 2012. See attachment A.**

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: **-15**

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: **Increase in Minimum Basic Standards of Adequate Care (MBSAC)**

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: **July 1, 2010**

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

**The MBSAC was increased by 1.92 percent in July 2011 due to a cost of living adjustment (COLA). The new MBSAC level affects the applicant financial eligibility test by increasing the family income limit used to qualify for aid.**

**In Region 1, the 1.92 percent COLA is based on the California Necessities Index, which increased the MBSAC from \$1,114 to \$1,135 for a family of three. In Region 2, for a family of three, the MBSAC increased from \$1,058 to \$1,078.**

**The MBSAC is also used in determining the value of in-kind income for CalWORKs.**

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

**In the absence of this policy, the average monthly TANF caseload for FFY 2012 would have been 576,853 (577,357 - 504).**

**Analysis of the TANF database for the last three months of FFY 2011 and the first nine months of FFY 2012 (July 2011 to June 2012) indicated that 7 un-weighted sampled cases would not have been eligible without the increase of 1.92 percent of the MBSAC.**

**Each sampled case was multiplied by its statistically determined weight. The sum of the weighted cases was 504. For the twelve months of FFY 2012, the impact is estimated to be +504 cases (7 x 72 based on rounded values). See Attachment B.**

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: **+504**

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: **Establishment of Tribal TANF Programs**

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: **October 1, 2005**

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

**Tribal TANF programs were established in California resulting in the transfer of TANF cases from county caseloads to Tribal caseloads.**

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):

**In the absence of this policy, the average monthly TANF caseload for FFY 2012 would have been 577,387 (577,357 + 30).**

**The estimated average monthly impact of Tribal TANF cases for FFY 2012 is the average monthly number of cases that are transferred from county TANF programs to Tribal TANF programs. See Attachment C.**

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: **-30**

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: **Assembly Bill (AB) 98 Subsidized Employment**
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: **July 1, 2011**
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

**This premise provides funding to counties outside of the CalWORKs program single allocation for the AB 98 (Chapter 589, Statutes of 2007) Subsidized Employment (SE) program. Due to the implementation of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Emergency Contingency Fund (ECF) SE program, AB 98 activities were suspended through September 30, 2010. The AB 98 program resumed after the end of ARRA. In addition, this premise reflects changes made to the program as a result of the enactment of Senate Bill (SB) 72 (Chapter 8, Statutes of 2011), effective March 24, 2011. SB 72 increased the maximum amount of funding that will be provided to the counties for this program, expanded the eligible population, and increased the duration of qualifying job placements.**

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):

**In the absence of this policy, the average monthly TANF caseload would have been 578,049 (577,357 + 692).**

**County-reported data indicates a monthly average of 6,991 participants in the SE program (for the duration of up to six months per participant) in state fiscal year (FY) 2011-12 and 6,707 in FY 2012-13, for a weighted average of 6,920 in FFY 2012.**

**It was assumed that 10 percent would income off of CalWORKs as a result of receiving increased earnings through subsidized employment.**

**The average monthly number of cases that would income off and leave the CalWORKs caseload is approximately 692. See Attachment D.**

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: **-692**

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

### PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

<b>Attachment A</b>	
<b><u>Extend FC, Kin-GAP, AAP benefits (AB 12)</u></b>	
<b>October 2011</b>	0
<b>November 2011</b>	0
<b>December 2011</b>	0
<b>January 2012</b>	-4
<b>February 2012</b>	-8
<b>March 2012</b>	-12
<b>April 2012</b>	-15
<b>May 2012</b>	-19
<b>June 2012</b>	-23
<b>July 2012</b>	-27
<b>August 2012</b>	-31
<b>September 2012</b>	-35
<b>Monthly Average</b>	-15

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

## PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

### Attachment B

<u>Increase in MBSAC</u>	
October 2011	+504
November 2011	+504
December 2011	+504
January 2012	+504
February 2012	+504
March 2012	+504
April 2012	+504
May 2012	+504
June 2012	+504
July 2012	+504
August 2012	+504
September 2012	+504
Monthly Average	+504

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

### PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

#### Attachment C

<b><u>Establishment of Tribal TANF Programs</u></b>	
<b>October 2011</b>	-41
<b>November 2011</b>	-42
<b>December 2011</b>	-36
<b>January 2012</b>	-35
<b>February 2012</b>	-33
<b>March 2012</b>	-25
<b>April 2012</b>	-26
<b>May 2012</b>	-34
<b>June 2012</b>	-43
<b>July 2012</b>	-13
<b>August 2012</b>	-22
<b>September 2012</b>	-15
<b>Monthly Average</b>	-30

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

## PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

### Attachment D

<u>Assembly Bill 98 Subsidized Employment</u>	
October 2011	-699
November 2011	-699
December 2011	-699
January 2011	-699
February 2011	-699
March 2011	-699
April 2011	-699
May 2011	-699
June 2012	-699
July 2012	-671
August 2012	-671
September 2012	-671
Monthly Average	-692

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**California**

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:**

**2013**

**Date of Completion:** 12/29/2012

## PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

Impact of All Changes

AB 12 Extend Foster Care	-15
MBSAC	504
Tribal TANF Programs	-30
AB 98 Subsidized Employment	-692

Caseload Reduction Calculation

FY 2005 TANF Caseload	463,569	
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	42,934	
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>506,503</b>	
FY 2012 TANF Caseload	577,357	
FY 2012 SSP Caseload	0	
<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>577,357</b>	
Excess MOE Cases in FY 2012	*	
<b>Adjusted FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>*</b>	
Caseload Decline		*
Decline – Net Impact		

Caseload Reduction Credit = \*

**Net Impact** **-233**

\* Data Not Yet Available

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

California

Fiscal Year to which credit applies:

2013

Date of Completion:

12/29/2012

## Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet

### Caseload Data

FY 2005 TANF Caseload	463,569
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	42,934
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>506,503</b>
FY 2012 TANF Caseload	577,357
FY 2012 SSP Caseload	0
<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>577,357</b>

### 2-Parent Caseload Data

FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0
FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	42,934
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>42,934</b>
FY 2012 2-p TANF Caseload	0
FY 2012 2-p SSP Caseload	56,778
<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>56,778</b>

### Adjusted Caseload Data

Adjusted FY 2012 Overall Caseload	*
Adjusted FY 2012 2-parent Caseload	*

### Expenditure Data

<b>Total Expenditures</b>	
FY 2012 Total Federal Expenditures	*
FY 2012 Total MOE Expenditures	*
<b>Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)</b>	
<b>Assistance Expenditures</b>	
FY 2012 Federal Expenditures on Assistance	*
FY 2012 MOE Expenditures on Assistance	*
<b>Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)</b>	*
Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	*
<b>Expenditures Per Case</b>	
Average Expenditures per Case	*
Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance	*
<b>MOE and Excess MOE</b>	
Required MOE (80% or 75%)	*
Excess MOE Expenditures	*
Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	*
<b>Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE</b>	*
<b>2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE</b>	*

\* Data Not Yet Available

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

## PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

---

(signature)

---

(name)

---

(title)

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

Overall Report \_\_\_\_\_  
Two-parent Report  (check one)

Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate? \_\_\_\_\_ Yes  
 No

### PART I – Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005

1. Name of eligibility change: **Increase in Minimum Basic Standards of Adequate Care (MBSAC)**

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: **July 1, 2010**

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

**The MBSAC was increased by 1.92 percent in July 2011 due to a cost of living adjustment (COLA). The new MBSAC level affects the applicant financial eligibility test by increasing the family income limit used to qualify for aid.**

**In Region 1, the 1.92 percent COLA is based on the California Necessities Index, which increased the MBSAC from \$1,114 to \$1,135 for a family of three. In Region 2, for a family of three, the MBSAC increased from \$1,058 to \$1,078.**

**The MBSAC is also used in determining the value of in-kind income for CalWORKs.**

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

**In the absence of this policy, the average monthly TANF caseload for FFY 2012 would have been 56,732 (56,778 - 46).**

**Analysis of the TANF database for the last three months of FFY 2011 and the first nine months of FFY 2012 (July 2011 to June 2012) indicated that 7 un-weighted sampled cases would not have been eligible without the increase of 1.92 percent of the MBSAC.**

**Each sampled case was multiplied by its statistically determined weight. The sum of the weighted cases was 504. For the twelve months of FFY 2012 the impact is estimated to be +504 cases (7 x 72 based on rounded values).**

**For the two-parent population in FFY 2012, the average monthly number of cases that would have been eligible without the increase is estimated to be +46. This results from applying the state's FY 2011-12 average two-parent share of all cases to the overall MBSAC caseload impact (504 x 9.2 percent). See Attachment A.**

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: **+46**

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: **Establishment of Tribal TANF Programs**

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: **October 1, 2005**

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

**Tribal TANF programs were established in California resulting in the transfer of TANF cases from county caseloads to Tribal caseloads.**

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):

**In the absence of this policy, the average monthly TANF caseload for FFY 2012 would have been 56,781 (56,778 + 3).**

**The estimated average monthly impact of Tribal TANF cases for FFY 2012 is the average monthly number of cases that are transferred from county TANF programs to Tribal TANF programs after applying the State's average percent of two-parent cases: -3 (-30 x 10.41 percent). See Attachment B.**

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: **-3**

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: **Assembly Bill (AB) 98 Subsidized Employment**

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: **July 1, 2011**

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

**This premise provides funding to counties outside of the California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) program single allocation for the AB 98 (Chapter 589, Statutes of 2007) Subsidized Employment (SE) program. Due to the implementation of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Emergency Contingency Fund (ECF) SE program, AB 98 activities were suspended through September 30, 2010. The AB 98 program resumed after the end of ARRA. In addition, this premise reflects changes made to the program as a result of the enactment of Senate Bill (SB) 72 (Chapter 8, Statutes of 2011), effective March 24, 2011. SB 72 increased the maximum amount of funding that will be provided to the counties for this program, expanded the eligible population and increased the duration of qualifying job placements.**

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):

**In the absence of this policy, the average monthly TANF caseload would have been 56,850 (56,778 + 72).**

**County-reported data indicates a monthly average of 6,991 participants in the SE program (for the duration of up to six months per participant) in state fiscal year (FY) 2011-12 and 6,707 in FY 2012-13, for a weighted average of 6,920 in FFY 2012.**

**It was assumed that 10 percent would income off of CalWORKs as a result of receiving increased earnings through subsidized employment.**

**The average monthly number of two-parent cases that would income off and leave the CalWORKs caseload is approximately 72. This results from the application of the State's average percent of two-parent cases to the overall number (693 x 10.41 percent). See Attachment C.**

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: **-72**

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

## PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

### Attachment A

Increase in MBSAC	
October 2011	+46
November 2011	+46
December 2011	+46
January 2012	+46
February 2012	+46
March 2012	+46
April 2012	+46
May 2012	+46
June 2012	+46
July 2012	+46
August 2012	+46
September 2012	+46
Monthly Average	+46

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

### PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

#### Attachment B

<b>Establishment of Tribal TANF Programs</b>	
<b>October 2011</b>	-4
<b>November 2011</b>	-4
<b>December 2011</b>	-4
<b>January 2012</b>	-4
<b>February 2012</b>	-3
<b>March 2012</b>	-3
<b>April 2012</b>	-3
<b>May 2012</b>	-4
<b>June 2012</b>	-5
<b>July 2012</b>	-1
<b>August 2012</b>	-2
<b>September 2012</b>	-2
<b>Monthly Average</b>	-3

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** December 17, 2012

**State:** California

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

### PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

#### Attachment C

<b>Assembly Bill 98 Subsidized Employment</b>	
<b>October 2011</b>	-73
<b>November 2011</b>	-73
<b>December 2011</b>	-73
<b>January 2011</b>	-73
<b>February 2011</b>	-73
<b>March 2011</b>	-73
<b>April 2011</b>	-73
<b>May 2011</b>	-73
<b>June 2012</b>	-73
<b>July 2012</b>	-70
<b>August 2012</b>	-70
<b>September 2012</b>	-70
<b>Monthly Average</b>	-72

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**California**

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:**

**2013**

**Date of Completion:** 12/29/2012

## PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

Impact of All Changes

MBSAC	46
Tribal TANF Programs	-3
AB 98 Subsidized Employment	-72

Caseload Reduction Calculation

FY 2005 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	463,569	
FY 2005 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	42,934	
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>506,503</b>	
FFY 2012 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	0	
FFY 2012 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	56,778	
<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>56,778</b>	
Excess MOE Cases in FY 2012	*	
<b>Adjusted FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>*</b>	
Caseload Decline		*
Decline – Net Impact		
Caseload Reduction Credit =		*

**Net Impact** **-29**

\* Not Yet Available

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

California

Fiscal Year to which credit applies:

2013

Date of Completion:

12/29/2012

## Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet

### Caseload Data

FY 2005 TANF Caseload	463,569
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	42,934
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>506,503</b>
FY 2012 TANF Caseload	577,357
FY 2012 SSP Caseload	0
<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>577,357</b>

### 2-Parent Caseload Data

FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0
FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	42,934
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>42,934</b>
FY 2012 2-p TANF Caseload	0
FY 2012 2-p SSP Caseload	56,778
<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>56,778</b>

### Adjusted Caseload Data

Adjusted FY 2012 Overall Caseload	*
Adjusted FY 2012 2-parent Caseload	*

### Expenditure Data

<b>Total Expenditures</b>	
FY 2012 Total Federal Expenditures	*
FY 2012 Total MOE Expenditures	*
<b>Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)</b>	
<b>Assistance Expenditures</b>	
FY 2012 Federal Expenditures on Assistance	*
FY 2012 MOE Expenditures on Assistance	*
<b>Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)</b>	*
Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	*
<b>Expenditures Per Case</b>	
Average Expenditures per Case	*
Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance	*
<b>MOE and Excess MOE</b>	
Required MOE (80% or 75%)	*
Excess MOE Expenditures	*
Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	*
<b>Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE</b>	*
<b>2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE</b>	*

\* Data Not Yet Available

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

## PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

---

(signature)

---

(name)

---

(title)